#### CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY

### College of Education REFERRAL FORM FOR VIOLATION OF PROFESSIONAL CODE OF CONDUCT Part A - Violation



The College of Education is committed to ensuring that all candidates successfully complete their program with the knowledge, skills, and dispositions required to work in educational settings as teachers or other professional personnel (NCATE Standard 1, 2008 Professional Standards for NCATE Accreditation). This includes adherence to a Professional Code of Conduct, which is formally assessed at the following four checkpoints in all teacher education programs leading to initial certification:

- enrollment in professional courses containing field hours
- admission to the College of Education
- placement in student teaching
- graduation and/or certification
- and at other appropriate checkpoints identified in the college's other programs.

Candidates must not have any unresolved violations of the Professional Code of Conduct in order to continue in their program past any of these checkpoints. The Professional Code of Conduct includes (but is not limited to) academic integrity, accountability, appropriate language, civility, cleanliness, fairness, honesty, justice, non-discriminatory behavior, professional ethics, punctuality, reliability, respect, trustworthiness, and other aspects of professional behavior.

Candidates who are observed violating any aspect of the Professional Code of Conduct can be given the opportunity to correct their behavior after consulting with the party who has observed the violation, or with the party's or candidate's academic department. If the problem is not successfully resolved, a referral can be made by the party observing the violation, his or her academic department, or the candidate's academic department, to the College of Education's Admissions and Standards Committee (ASC) for a final resolution.

Candidates who have a history of violating the Professional Code of Conduct (whether the violations are resolved or unresolved) can be referred to the Admission and Standards Committee at the discretion of a concerned member of the university community. The ASC will review the referral and render a decision regarding the candidate's status in the program, in accordance with standard ASC referral review procedures.

This form is to be used to record a violation of the Professional Code of Conduct for the following candidate:

IID#	
Ext	
r □cleanliness □fairness □honesty □justice respect □trustworthiness □other	
Date	
, r	Ext

Copies to: 1.Candidate; 2.Candidate's.Department File; 3.FAS making referral; 4.ASC

### CHICAGO ST≰TE UNIVERSITY

# College of Education REFERRAL FORM FOR VIOLATION OF PROFESSIONAL CODE OF CONDUCT Part B - Consultation

PART B: CONSULTATION WITH CANDIDATE:	B: CONSULTATION WITH CANDIDATE: Reference Number	
Candidate's Name	UID#	Major
Parties Present		
Consultation Date:  1. Summary of consultation discussion:	Location of Consultation:	
2. Recommendation(s):		
<ul> <li>No assistance or intervention is necessar (Referral Form B is placed in candidate's</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Assistance or intervention is necessary b</li> </ul>	ecause (be specific):	
(Department Action Plan is attached and		nt file):
3. Signature(s) of Faculty/Administrator/Staff pre-	sent during consultation:	Date
		Date Date
		Date
<ul> <li>4. Candidate (mark one):</li> <li>My signature below verifies that I agree to fo</li> <li>My signature below indicates that I decline to reason(s) (Department Action Plan is attached)</li> </ul>	ofollow the recommendations se	t forth above for the following
Candidate's Signature		Date
Witness to Consultation Signature □ PART C. DEPARTMENT ACTION PLAN (DAC) is	attached.	Date

COPIES TO: 1. CANDIDATE; 2. CANDIDATE'S DEPARTMENT FILE; 3. FAS MAKING REFERRAL; 4. ASC

### CHICAGO ST≰TE UNIVERSITY

## COLLEGE OF EDUCATION REFERRAL FORM FOR VIOLATION OF PROFESSIONAL CODE OF CONDUCT PART C - DEPARTMENT ACTION PLAN (DAP)

PART C. DEPARTMENT ACTION PLAN	Reference Number		Reference Number	
Candidate's Name	UID#	Major		
1. Brief description of Violation of Professional Code of 0	Conduct:			
2. Expected professional conduct:				
3. Required assistance or remediation				
4. Means of monitoring and evaluating the professional o	conduct:			
5. Time-line:				
6. FAS responsible for monitoring the DAP:				
7. FAS responsible for determining if DAP is successfully	y completed:			
8. Other:				
□ DAP <u>successfully</u> completed (place copy in candidate's Evidence:	s department file):			
□ DAP not successfully completed (place copy in candid Form, and send to the ASC Chair) Evidence:	late's department file, att	ach to Part A and B of the Referral		
Candidate's Signature		Date		
FAS Signature				
Chairperson's Signatures				
□PART D-COMMITTEE ACTION PLAN (CAP): Parts A, B,	and C sent to Admissi	ons and Standards Committee on		

COPIES TO: 1. CANDIDATE; 2. CANDIDATE'S DEPARTMENT FILE; 3. FAS MAKING REFERRAL; 4. GOLDENROD: ASC

#### CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY

# REFERRAL FORM FOR VIOLATION OF PROFESSIONAL CODE OF CONDUCT COLLEGE OF EDUCATION PART D - ADMISSIONS AND STANDARDS COMMITTEE ACTION PLAN (CAP)

PART	D. COMMITTEE ACTION PLAN		REFERENCE NUMBER		REFERENCE NUMBER	
CANDII	DATE'S NAME	UI	D# M	AJOR		
1. BRIE	F DESCRIPTION OF VIOLATION OF PROFE	ESSIONAL CODE OF CONDUCT	:			
2. Exp	ECTED PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT:					
3. REQ	UIRED ASSISTANCE OR REMEDIATION.					
4. <b>M</b> EA	NS OF MONITORING AND EVALUATING TH	E PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT:				
5. TIME	:-LINE					
6. ASC	MEMBER RESPONSIBLE FOR MONITORIN	g тне <b>САР</b>				
7. Отн	ER					
CAP	□ SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETED  EVIDENCE:		OINT IN THE PROGRAM: C	OT ELIGIBLE TO CONTINUE DURSES WITH FIELD HOURS, DUATION/CERTIFICATION)		
ASC N	Ionitor #1 Signature					
ASC N	IONITOR #2 SIGNATURE					
ASC C	CHAIRPERSON'S SIGNATURE					
ASC C	COMMITTEE MEMBER SIGNATURES					
	CAP SENT TO CANDIDATE, DE	PARTMENT, FIELD PLACEMEN	T AND TEACHER CERTIFIC	CATION ON		

COPIES TO: 1.CANDIDATE; 2.CANDIDATE'S DEPARTMENT FILE; 3.FIELD PLACEMENT 4. ASC

#### CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY

## COLLEGE OF EDUCATION REFERRAL FORM FOR VIOLATION OF PROFESSIONAL CODE OF CONDUCT PART E - REPEATED VIOLATIONS

THE COLLEGE OF EDUCATION IS COMMITTED TO ENSURING THAT ALL CANDIDATES SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETE THEIR PROGRAM WITH THE KNOWLEDGE. SKILLS. AND DISPOSITIONS REQUIRED TO WORK IN EDUCATIONAL SETTINGS AS TEACHERS

STATE UNITED STATE

OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (NCATE STANDARD 1, 2008 PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS FOR NCATE ACCREDITATION). THIS INCLUDES ADHERENCE TO A PROFESSIONAL CODE OF CONDUCT, WHICH IS FORMALLY ASSESSED AT THE FOLLOWING FOUR CHECKPOINTS IN ALL TEACHER EDUCATION PROGRAMS LEADING TO INITIAL CERTIFICATION:

- **❖** ENROLLMENT IN PROFESSIONAL COURSES CONTAINING FIELD HOURS
- **❖** ADMISSION TO THE COLLEGE OF EDUCATION
- **❖ PLACEMENT IN STUDENT TEACHING**
- GRADUATION AND/OR CERTIFICATION

AND AT OTHER APPROPRIATE CHECKPOINTS IDENTIFIED IN THE COLLEGE'S OTHER PROGRAMS.

CANDIDATES MUST NOT HAVE ANY UNRESOLVED VIOLATIONS OF THE PROFESSIONAL CODE OF CONDUCT IN ORDER TO CONTINUE IN THEIR PROGRAM PAST ANY OF THESE CHECKPOINTS. THE

PROFESSIONAL CODE OF CONDUCT INCLUDES (BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO) ACADEMIC INTEGRITY, ACCOUNTABILITY, APPROPRIATE LANGUAGE, CIVILITY, CLEANLINESS, FAIRNESS, HONESTY, JUSTICE, NON-DISCRIMINATORY BEHAVIOR, PROFESSIONAL ETHICS, PUNCTUALITY, RELIABILITY, RESPECT, TRUSTWORTHINESS, AND OTHER ASPECTS OF PROFESSIONAL BEHAVIOR.

CANDIDATES WHO ARE OBSERVED VIOLATING ANY ASPECT OF THE PROFESSIONAL CODE OF CONDUCT CAN BE GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY TO CORRECT THEIR BEHAVIOR AFTER CONSULTING WITH THE PARTY WHO HAS OBSERVED THE VIOLATION, OR WITH THE PARTY'S OR CANDIDATE'S ACADEMIC DEPARTMENT. IF THE PROBLEM IS NOT SUCCESSFULLY RESOLVED, A REFERRAL CAN BE MADE BY THE PARTY OBSERVING THE VIOLATION, HIS OR HER ACADEMIC DEPARTMENT, OR THE CANDIDATE'S ACADEMIC DEPARTMENT, TO THE COLLEGE OF EDUCATION'S ADMISSIONS AND STANDARDS COMMITTEE (ASC) FOR A FINAL RESOLUTION.

CANDIDATES WHO HAVE A HISTORY OF VIOLATING THE PROFESSIONAL CODE OF CONDUCT (WHETHER THE VIOLATIONS ARE RESOLVED OR UNRESOLVED) CAN BE REFERRED TO THE ADMISSION AND STANDARDS COMMITTEE AT THE DISCRETION OF A CONCERNED MEMBER OF THE UNIVERSITY COMMUNITY, USING REFERRAL FORM-PART E. THE ASC WILL REVIEW THE REFERRAL AND RENDER A DECISION REGARDING THE CANDIDATE'S STATUS IN THE PROGRAM, IN ACCORDANCE WITH STANDARD ASC REFERRAL REVIEW PROCEDURES.

THIS FORM IS TO BE USED TO REPORT REPEATED VIOLATIONS OF THE PROFESSIONAL CODE OF CONDUCT.

COPIES TO: 1. CANDIDATE; 2. CANDIDATE'S DEPARTMENT FILE; 3. FIELD PLACEMENT; 4. ASC

CANDIDATE'S NAME	UID#	MAJOR	
FACULTY/ADMINISTRATOR/STAFF MAKING REFERE	RAL TO ADMISSIONS AND STANDARDS C	OMMITTEE:	
NAME			
PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT REPEATEDLY VIOLATED  □ACADEMIC INTEGRITY □ACCOUNTABILITY □APPRO □NON-DISCRIMINATORY BEHAVIOR □ETHICS □PUNC  DESCRIPTION OF THE PATTERN OF REPEATED VIOLA	PRIATE LANGUAGE □CIVILITY □CLEANL TUALITY □RELIABILITY □RESPECT □	rustworthiness Dother	STICE
ASC DECISION:		DATE:	
ASC Signatures			